

KTest

更に上のクオリティ 更に上のサービス



問題集

<http://www.ktest.jp>

1年で無料進級することに提供する

Exam : CAIPM

**Title : Certified AI Program
Manager (CAIPM)**

Version : DEMO

1.Apex Solutions Group conducts a gap analysis to compare its current AI readiness with a defined target state across multiple readiness dimensions. The analysis shows the following quantified gaps: Workforce readiness, Data readiness, Strategic readiness, and Technology readiness. Leadership wants to sequence improvement initiatives so that investments are directed toward the area requiring the greatest effort to reach the desired state.

Based on the gap prioritization results, which readiness dimension should be addressed first?

- A. Workforce readiness
- B. Strategic readiness
- C. Data readiness
- D. Technology readiness

Answer: B

Explanation:

EC-Council's CAIPM materials describe organizational readiness and AI maturity assessment as a structured evaluation across key dimensions such as strategy, data, technology, workforce, and culture, with the purpose of identifying capability gaps and adoption risks. The certification page explicitly states that candidates assess readiness for AI adoption by evaluating "strategy, data, technology, workforce, and culture" and by "identifying capability gaps."

In this question, leadership wants to prioritize the dimension that requires the greatest effort to move from the current state to the target state. That is the core purpose of a quantified gap analysis: rank dimensions by the size or severity of the gap so investments can be sequenced logically. Since the prompt asks which dimension should be addressed first "based on the gap prioritization results," the correct choice is the dimension identified as having the largest prioritized gap. From the provided options and question context, that dimension is Strategic readiness. This is also consistent with CAIPM's emphasis on aligning AI initiatives with business goals before broader execution and scaling activities. EC-Council's CAIPM overview further frames AI program management around building organizational readiness and aligning AI initiatives with business objectives before execution at scale.

2.After an AI tool had been released for several weeks at a global insurance firm, employee feedback was reviewed by Laura Mitchell, Head of Enterprise AI Adoption. Users confirmed they had received access instructions, onboarding guides, and support contacts at the time the tool was enabled. However, surveys revealed that many employees were unsure why the organization introduced the tool in the first place, how it aligned with business objectives, or what problem it was intended to solve. This lack of clarity was cited as a primary reason for low trust and weak engagement, despite functional availability and training resources being in place.

Which communication timeline step was most clearly mishandled in this rollout?

- A. Post-launch
- B. Launch
- C. Ongoing
- D. Pre-launch

Answer: D

Explanation:

In CAIPM-aligned change management practices, communication is structured across three critical phases: pre-launch, launch, and post-launch or ongoing engagement. Each phase has a distinct purpose. The pre-launch phase is the most important for establishing context, purpose, and alignment. It

is where organizations communicate why the AI initiative is being introduced, how it connects to business strategy, what value it is expected to deliver, and what problems it aims to solve.

In this scenario, employees clearly received launch-phase communications such as onboarding instructions, access details, and support contacts. This indicates that operational enablement was handled correctly. However, the absence of understanding around business objectives and purpose signals a failure in pre-launch communication, which should have built awareness, trust, and strategic clarity before deployment.

According to CAIPM guidance, when users do not understand the “why,” adoption suffers even if tools are technically sound and training is available. Trust, engagement, and behavioral adoption depend heavily on early messaging that connects AI initiatives to organizational goals and user value.

Without this foundation, employees perceive AI tools as imposed rather than purposeful, leading to resistance or disengagement.

Therefore, the most clearly mishandled step is Pre-launch communication, as it failed to establish the strategic narrative required for successful AI adoption.

3.As the AI Program Director, you have received a validation report confirming that a new Generative Design tool is technically mature and offers a high ROI. However, you do not immediately approve the project kickoff. Instead, you convene the steering committee to score this initiative against two competing proposals, one for Cyber Security and one for HR, to determine which single project receives the limited budget available for this quarter based on alignment with the corporate strategy.

According to the Structured Response Approach, which specific step of the adoption lifecycle are you currently executing?

- A. Evaluate
- B. Monitor
- C. Prioritize
- D. Pilot

Answer: C

Explanation:

The scenario clearly describes a decision-making process where multiple validated AI initiatives are being compared against each other to determine which one should receive limited organizational resources. This aligns directly with the “Prioritize” step in the Structured Response Approach defined in CAIPM.

In CAIPM methodology, the lifecycle begins with identifying and evaluating potential AI use cases based on feasibility, technical maturity, and expected ROI. In this case, that step has already been completed, as the Generative Design tool has been validated and confirmed to offer high ROI.

However, organizations rarely execute all validated initiatives simultaneously due to constraints such as budget, resources, and strategic focus.

The Prioritize phase involves ranking competing initiatives using structured scoring criteria such as strategic alignment, business value, risk, feasibility, and organizational impact. Steering committees or governance boards typically perform this function to ensure that selected projects deliver maximum value while aligning with enterprise objectives.

This scenario explicitly mentions comparing multiple proposals (Generative Design, Cyber Security, HR) and selecting one based on strategic alignment and budget constraints, which is the defining characteristic of prioritization. It is not evaluation, because feasibility and ROI are already established;

not pilot, because execution has not yet started; and not monitor, as no implementation has occurred yet. Therefore, the correct step being executed is Prioritize, where competing AI initiatives are ranked and selected for investment.

4. An AI-enabled system has been operating in production for several months without signs of technical instability. Operational indicators show expected behavior, yet executive sponsors request confirmation that the initiative is delivering the outcomes approved during initiation. Current reporting focuses on system behavior rather than organizational impact. As part of lifecycle governance, you are asked to determine how post-deployment effectiveness should be assessed to inform continued investment decisions.

Which post-deployment activity most directly supports validation of realized organizational value?

- A. Recording system faults and processing delays
- B. Tracking business KPIs against expected value
- C. Identifying shifts in operational data characteristics
- D. Monitoring prediction accuracy and response performance

Answer: B

Explanation:

In CAIPM, post-deployment governance emphasizes not only technical performance but also business value realization, which is the ultimate justification for AI investments. While operational metrics such as system stability, prediction accuracy, latency, and data drift are important for ensuring system health, they do not directly confirm whether the AI initiative is achieving its intended organizational outcomes. The scenario clearly states that technical indicators are already satisfactory, but executives want validation of approved business outcomes. This shifts the focus from technical monitoring to value measurement, which is a core component of the “Measuring AI Adoption Impact and Value” domain. Tracking business KPIs against expected value is the most direct method to validate whether the AI system is delivering measurable benefits such as revenue growth, cost reduction, efficiency improvements, customer satisfaction, or risk mitigation. These KPIs are typically defined during the business case or initiation phase and serve as benchmarks for success.

The other options represent operational monitoring activities:

Recording faults and delays relates to system reliability.

Identifying data shifts supports model maintenance and drift detection.

Monitoring prediction accuracy focuses on model performance.

However, CAIPM clearly distinguishes technical performance metrics from business impact metrics, emphasizing that sustained investment decisions must be based on demonstrated value delivery.

Therefore, the correct answer is Tracking business KPIs against expected value, as it directly validates realized organizational value and supports strategic decision-making.

5. An AI capability is introduced into a customer service operation with the goal of improving efficiency. Rather than rethinking how work is performed end to end, the existing workflow remains largely untouched, and automation is layered onto a single task late in the process. The lack of holistic process redesign leads to operational friction, user confusion, and only marginal performance gains.

Which integration approach describes how the AI was implemented in this scenario?

- A. Human-Led Collaboration
- B. Transformational Redesign

C. Bolt-on Approach

D. Supervised Autonomy

Answer: C

Explanation:

The scenario clearly reflects a situation where AI has been introduced without fundamentally rethinking or redesigning the underlying business process. Instead, automation is applied narrowly to a specific task within an otherwise unchanged workflow. This is a textbook example of the Bolt-on Approach as defined in CAIPM.

In CAIPM, integration approaches describe how AI is embedded into business operations. The Bolt-on Approach involves adding AI capabilities on top of existing systems or processes without reengineering them end-to-end. While this method is often quicker to implement and requires less upfront change management, it typically results in limited value realization. This is because inefficiencies in the broader process remain unaddressed, and the AI solution operates in isolation rather than as part of an optimized workflow.

The scenario explicitly mentions key symptoms of bolt-on implementation: operational friction, user confusion, and marginal performance gains. These outcomes occur because the AI solution does not align with the overall process flow or user experience.

In contrast:

Transformational Redesign would involve rethinking the entire workflow to maximize AI-driven value.

Human-Led Collaboration focuses on structured human-AI interaction across tasks.

Supervised Autonomy involves AI performing tasks independently under human oversight.

Therefore, the correct answer is Bolt-on Approach, as the AI was simply layered onto an existing process without holistic redesign, limiting its effectiveness.